

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/733,775	MURAI, HIDESHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Fred A. Casca	2617	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2 PM

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 16, 17, 21, and 22.

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 16, 17, 21, and 22.

Prior art documents discussed:

Skillemark (US 2005/0111408 A1), and Takeo (US Patent No. 6385183 (B1)).

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

As set forth in the office action, examiner's amendments were discussed.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)